2017-18

## On Farm Testing (Discipline-Wise Summary)

Discipline	Crop /	Number of technology/ Social Concep	t	No. of tri	als	% of	Reasons	
	Enterprise	Assessed	Refined	Target	Achieve ment	achie ve ment	for shortfall, if any	
Agronomy	Black scented rice Poireiton	Productivity and profitability of scented rice Chakhao (Poireiton) Technology: 1. 20cm X 20 cm 2. INM (50% RDF + 7.5 FYM t/ha)	-	5	5	100	NA	
Wheat Var. HD 2932	Var. HD-	System of wheat intensification SWI, seed rate-25kg/ha, sowing of two sprouted seeds/hill at 20 cm x 20cm in square grid, 5 shallow irrigations at 15, 35, 60, 95 and at 105 DAS, 3 weedings using conoweeder at 20, 30 and 40 DAS	-	5	5	100	NA	
Horti- culture	Broadbean	Varietal performance of broadbean var. Pusa Udit	NA	5	5	100	NA	
	Tomato	Rice straw and rice husk mulches in tomato cultivation	NA	5	5	100	NA	

## On Farm Testing (Summary) Contd.

Discipline	Crop / Enterprise	Number of technology/ Social Concept	No. of t	rials	% of achieve	Reasons for	
		Assessed	Refined	Target	Achieve ment	ment	shortfall, if any
Fishery	Feeding Management	1	1	5	5	100	NA
Home Sc.	Organic dye	Efficacy of natural dye from aparajita (Clitoria ternatea) on silk yarn. Extraction of dye from aparajita ,dyeing with three Mordant copper sulphate	-	5	5	100	NA
PP	Tomato	Management of <i>Helicoverpa</i> armigera by the application of newer insecticides in tomato.(Three application of flubendiamide 480SC @200ml/ha)	-	5	5	100	-

### **Agronomy 1: Productivity and profitability of scented rice Chakhao (Poireiton)**

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Severity of problem (%)	Technology (give details)	Title of OFT	No. of trials
Black scented rice Poireiton	Low yield of scented rice due to improper package of practices	80	Technology: 1. 20cm X 20 cm 2. INM (50% RDF + 7.5 FYM t/ha) Source: Directorate of agriculture & food production, Bhubaneswar, 2013	Productivity and profitability of scented rice Chakhao (Poireiton)	5

Parameters on Assessment/ Refined (Pl. mention )	Results/ observation on selected parameters	Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)	Remark for recommendation for FLD	
Technology	Technology			OFT to be repeated	
<ol> <li>Plant height (55.72 cm)</li> <li>No. of effective tillers/m2 (8.2)</li> <li>No. of filled grains/panicle (178.8)</li> <li>Grain yield (3600 kg/ha)</li> </ol>	3600 kg/ha	158000	6.6:1	again in the next kharif season	
Farmer Practice (i. 20cm x 10cm, ii. RDF60:	40:30 NPK kg/ha)				
<ol> <li>Plant height (56.27 cm)</li> <li>No. of effective tillers/m2 (6.8)</li> <li>No. of filled grains/panicle (148.5)</li> <li>Grain yield (2400 kg/ha)</li> </ol>	2400 kg/ha	120000	4.1:1		





## **Agronomy 2: System of wheat intensification**

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Severity of problem(%)	Technology (give details)	Title of OFT	No. of trials
Wheat	Land remain fallow during rabi season due to fear of failure of rabi crop such as wheat which require heavy inputs and irrigation facilities though they are intersted to grow this crop	60	System of wheat intensification SWI, seed rate-25kg/ha, sowing of two sprouted seeds/hill at 20 cm x 20cm in square grid, 5 shallow irrigations at 15, 35, 60, 95 and at 105 DAS, 3 weedings using conoweeder at 20, 30 and 40 DAS SOURCE: IARI, New Delhi 2013	System of wheat intensification	5

Parameters on Assessment/ Refined (Pl. mention )	Results/ observation on selected parameters	Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)	Remark for recommendation for FLD
Technology	Technology			To be repeated in
<ol> <li>Plant height</li> <li>No. of spikes/m2</li> <li>No. of spikelets/spike</li> <li>Grain yield</li> </ol>	The technology could not be completed due to flood in the particular area of testing			the next <i>rabi</i> season.
Farmer Practice			THE PARTY OF THE P	
1. Grain yield			W6' 244	

## Hort.1. Varietal performance of broadbean var. Pusa Udit.

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Severity of problem (%)	Technology	y (give details)		Title of OFT	No. of trials	
Broad bean	Lack of cultivation of improved variety	80	Seed rate: Spacing: 4 FYM: 10t /h NPK: 20:50	5x15cm a		Varietal performance of broadbean var. Pusa Udit	5	
Parameters on Assessment/ Refined		Results/ observation on selected parameters		Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)	Remark for recom- mendation for FLD		
Technology		Technology		166743	3.51:1	This technology		
1.Days to 1st g	ermination	7 days after sowing				implemented or for the OFT	nce again	
2. Days to 1stflo	owering	60 days after sowing			-	Tor the Or 1	<b>a</b>	
3 Days to 1st	harvesting	90 days after	sowing				NATIONAL DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	
4. Average yiel	d/ha (QtI)	60.20 Qtl				\$ \$3.500   (1)   500   Variett Prior   Var.   1   100   Des 5	marcal features and the second features are second features and the second features and the second features and the second features and the second features are second features and the second features and the second features and the second features and the second features are second features and the second features are second features and the second features are second features and the second features and the second features are second features and the second	
Farmer Praction	e			119518	2.60:1	drett		
1.Days to 1st germination		10 days after sowing						
2. Days to 1stflowering 75 days after sow		owing		12				
3 Days to 1st	harvesting							
4. Average yield/ha (Qtl)		47.25						

## Hort. 2: Performance of Paddy Straw Mulching on yield of Tomato

Livestock	Major problem diagnosed	Severity of problem (%)	Technology (give details)	Title of OFT	No. of trials
	Water scarcity during Rabi season	80	Application of paddy straw @ 5t/ha after transplanting. Source: MP University of Agriculture & Technology, Sirohi, 2013	Performance of Paddy Straw Mulching on yield of Tomato.	5

Parameters on Assessment/ Refined	Results/ observation on selected parameters	Net return (Rs/Unit)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)	Remark for recommendation for FLD
Technology	Technology	057040	4.07.4	This technology will be implemented for OFT once again
Yield/ha (Qtl)	207.12	257840	4.87:1	
Farmer Practice	Farmer Practice			
Yield/ha (Qtl)	148.00	170044	3.27:1	
e e	The state of the s	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		

#### Fishery 1. Fish Yield Estimation of Catla (Catla Catla) fingerling in grow out pond culture

Lives tock	Major pro	blem diagnosed	Severity of pro (%)	, oblem	Technology (giv	ve details)		Title	of OFT	No. trials	of
Fish ery	growth is	protein ent for Proper s restricted due llowing ad-hoc entary ad-hoc			concentrated f 24% Protein) fe weight	ingerling s in 0.2 ha loating fish eding @2% o	of body	Stun (Cati finge	nation of ted Catla	5	
	eters on sment/ d	Results/ observ		cro	. Cost Per unit op/enterprise Rs./0.2 ha)	Net return (Rs/Ha)	B:C Ra (GR/G		Remark for recommendation FLD	n for	
1. Fis		Treated	3853		2,20,000	5,60,600	2.50	:1	Recommended	l for FLI	D
(kgs / (	0.2 ha)	Control	1528		1,10,000	1,65,184	1.50	):1			



#### On Farm Testing (Discipline-wise achievements)

#### Home Sc. 1: Efficacy of natural dye from aparajita (Clitoria ternatea) on silk yarn.

Crop/ Livestock /Other enterprise	Problem diagnosed	Severity of problem (%)	Technology/ methodology/ Social Concept	Title of OFT	No. of trials
Aparajita	Chemical dyes causes envi romental pollution.	65-70	Extraction of dye from aparajita, dyeing with three Mordant copper sulphate SOURCE: CAU Tura College of Home Sc.	Efficacy of natural dye from aparajita (Clitoria ternatea) on silk yarn .	5

Parameters on Assessment/ Refined	Results on selected parameters (% increase/ Change in parameters)			
Technology / methodology	Technology / methodology	•		
1. Color	1. Grey	1		
2. Brightness of the color	2. Very good			
3. Color fastness to light .	3. Good			
<ul><li>4. Color fastness to washing .</li><li>5. Color fastness to rubbing.</li></ul>	4. Good 5. Good  Tracklon of a paralle			
Farmer Practice	Farmer Practice			
Practice only chemical dye.	Nil			

Remark for recommendation for FLD

The results show that aparajita with ferrous sullphate have good result. This technology is consider for Fld.









Plant protection: Management of *Helicoverpa armigera* by the application of newer insecticides in tomato.

Crop / Enter-prise	Problem diagnosed	Severity of problem (%)	Technology (give details)	Title of OFT	No. of trials
Tomato	Low yield due to infestation of fruit borer.	70-80%	Three application of flubendiamide 480SC @200ml/ha (Source: MPUAT,2013)	Management of <i>Helicoverpa</i> armigera by the application of newer insecticides in tomato.	5
			Farmers practice: Non- judicious use of insecticides.		

Parameters on Assessment/ Refined	Results/ observation on selected parameters	Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
1.Fruit damages %. 2. Yield	1) Fruit damages ranges from 0-4%. 2) Yield: 90qt/ ha	1,35,000/-	3.0:1
Farmer practice	1) Fruit damages recorded up to 82%. 2) Lowest yield of 63qt is recorded.	79,000/-	1.68:1



